

Institution: University of York
Unit of Assessment: Department of Computer Science
Title of case study: Guaranteed Performance on Controller Area Network (CAN)
1. Summary of the impact (indicative maximum 100 words)

Impact: Controller Area Network (CAN) is a digital communications bus used by the automotive industry for in-vehicle networks. The underpinning research introduced techniques that enable CAN to operate under high loads (approx. 80% utilisation) while ensuring that all messages meet their deadlines. The research led directly to the development of commercial products, now called Volcano Network Architect (VNA) and the Volcano Target Package (VTP). This Volcano technology (VNA and VTP) is now owned by Mentor Graphics. In recent years, VNA has been used to configure CAN communications for all Volvo production cars, with VTP used in the majority of Electronic Control Units (ECUs) in these vehicles, including the S40, S60, S80, V50, V70, XC60, XC70, XC90, C30, and C70; total production volume 330,000 to 450,000 vehicles per year. This Volcano technology is also used by Jaguar, LandRover, Aston Martin, Mazda, and the Chinese automotive company SAIC. It is used by the world's leading automotive suppliers, including Bosch and Visteon. It is also used by Airbus.

2. Underpinning research (indicative maximum 500 words)
--

Context: Prior to the 1990s, cars used point-to-point wiring. This was expensive to manufacture, install and maintain. From 1991, the automotive industry began to use CAN to connect Electronic Control Units (ECUs) such as engine management and transmission control. Using this approach dramatically reduced the size, weight and complexity of the wiring harness, for example with CAN, a door system in a high-end car typically requires 4 wires, compared to 50+ with point-to-point wiring. The adoption of CAN led to significant cost savings and reliability improvements. It has supported a revolution in the complexity of automotive electronics, with the number of ECUs in a typical mainstream car increasing from 5-10 in the mid to late 1990's to 25-35 today.

CAN supports communications at typical bus speeds of 500Kbit/sec for powertrain applications and 125Kbits for body electronics. In a typical application, over 2000 individual signals (e.g. switch positions, wheel speeds, temperatures etc.) are sent in hundreds of CAN messages. There are deadlines on the maximum time that these messages can take to be transmitted on the bus. If a message fails to meet its deadline, then the reliability and functionality of the electronic systems can be compromised. This can lead to intermittent problems, and high warranty costs associated with 'no fault found' replacement of ECUs.

Messages queued by ECUs connected to a CAN bus compete to be sent on the bus according to their IDs, which represent their priority. Higher priority messages are sent in preference to those with lower priority. In the early 1990's, CAN messages were typically assigned IDs according to the data in the message and the supplier, with a range of message IDs assigned to each supplier. Further, extensive testing was the only way of trying to verify that the messages would meet their deadlines. This was effective up to bus utilisations of about 30%; however, higher bus loads would result in deadline failures and intermittent problems.

Underpinning research: In 1994, three members of the Real-Time Systems Research Group (RTSRG) in the Computer Science Department at the University of York; Ken Tindell, Alan Burns, and Andy Wellings, introduced schedulability analysis of messages on CAN. This research [1], [2], [3], and [4] computed the longest time that each message could take from being queued by an ECU to being successfully transmitted on the bus and therefore received by other ECUs, referred to as the worst-case response time. This analysis enabled system designers to determine offline if all of the messages on a CAN bus could be guaranteed to always meet their deadlines during operation. This systematic approach was a significant improvement over the methods previously used in the automotive industry, which involved extensive testing, followed by hoping that the worst-case response time of every message had been seen. The underpinning research also showed how to obtain optimal priority assignments for CAN messages. The research in [1] provided the fundamental analysis of message response times. This was extended in [2] to account for errors on the network, and integrated in [3] with information about the timing behaviour of the sending and receiving software. The analysis provided in [1], [2], [3], does not apply to all CAN hardware, some specific CAN Controller designs were shown in [4] to have relatively poor

Impact case study (REF3b)

real-time performance, while others matched the requirements of the theory well. The RTSRG has continued its work on CAN schedulability analysis. In 2007 research published by Robert Davis and Alan Burns [5] corrected some flaws in the original analysis of CAN message response times, and was used by Mentor Graphics to check their Volcano Network Architect implementation.

During the time at which the underpinning research was carried out, Ken Tindell was a Research Associate at the University of York, and Alan Burns and Andy Wellings were Professors there. Ken Tindell left the University of York on 30/09/1994 to work at Uppsala University in Sweden under Prof. Hans Hansson; however, the overwhelming majority of the underpinning research work was done at York, prior to his departure. (The typically long publication cycle of journals resulted in [2] and [3] being published later in 1995). Robert Davis was a Research Associate at the University of York from 1992-1995, he returned to the RTSRG in 2004, and has been a Research Fellow / Senior Research Fellow since then. The underpinning research [1], [2], [3], and [4] was carried out under a grant from British Aerospace (now BAE Systems); Prof. John McDermid, University of York was the grant holder. The research in [5] was carried out by Robert Davis and Alan Burns in collaboration with Reinder Bril and Johan Lukkien (at Eindhoven University of Technology); however, the principal author responsible for the majority of this work was Robert Davis.

3. References to the research (indicative maximum of six references)

- [1] K.W. Tindell, A. Burns, "Guaranteeing message latencies on Controller Area Network (CAN)". In Proceedings of 1st international CAN conference, pp 1–11, 1994. (Available on request)
- [2] K.W. Tindell, A. Burns, A.J. Wellings, "Calculating Controller Area Network (CAN) Message Response Times", Control Engineering Practice, Vol 3, No 8, pp1163-1169, 1995. DOI:10.1016/0967-0661(95)00112-8.
- [3] K.W. Tindell, A. Burns, A.J. Wellings, "Analysis of Hard Real-Time Communications", Real-Time Systems, Vol 9, No 2, pp147-171, 1995. DOI: 10.1007/BF01088855.
- [4] K.W. Tindell, H. Hansson, A.J. Wellings. "Analysing real-time communications: Controller Area Network (CAN)". In Proceedings 15th Real-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS'94), pp. 259-263. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1994. DOI: 10.1109/REAL.1994.342710.
- [5] R.I. Davis, A. Burns, R.J. Bril, J.J. Lukkien. "Controller Area Network (CAN) Schedulability Analysis: Refuted, Revisited and Revised". Real-Time Systems, Volume 35, Number 3, pp. 239-272, April 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s11241-007-9012-7.

Number of citations to these papers: (Google scholar 23rd July 2013 [1] – 228, [2] – 575, [3] – 242, [4] - 372, [5] – 331, Scopus 29th Aug 2013: [1] – not indexed, [2] – 241, [3] – 71, [4] – 117 (11/11/13), [5] – 171). References [2], [3] and [4] best indicate the quality of the underpinning research.

4. Details of the impact (indicative maximum 750 words)

Impact: The underpinning research was exploited in the design of CAN network layer software, called the Volcano Target Package (VTP), and network schedulability analysis tools, called Volcano Network Architect (VNA). The Volcano Target Package is deployed in ECUs, while Volcano Network Architect is used to configure networks and to ensure that the configurations obtained result in all messages meeting their time constraints. The research was initially exploited by a start-up company called Northern Real-Time Technologies Ltd. (NRTT) that developed the first versions of the Volcano Target Package for Volvo Car Corporation (VCC) and worked in conjunction with Kimble AB to develop the first versions of Volcano Network Architect. Fully commercial versions of the Volcano technology (VNA and VTP) were later produced by Volcano Communications Technologies AB, which was sold to Mentor Graphics in 2005 [6].

Today, the Volcano Target Package is available for more than 30 different ECU microcontrollers [7], including: Fujitsu 16LX, FR Series; Hitachi H8S, SH7055, SH7058; Infineon C16x, TC179x, TC176x, XC800, XC2000; Renesas M16C, R32C/M32C; Freescale HC08, HC12, MC683xx, MPC5xx, MAC71xx; S12, S12X, MPC55xx, MPC 56xx; Mitsubishi M32R, MC32C; PowerPC; National CR16; NEC V85x, 78K0; ST Microelectronics ST9, ST10; Texas Instruments TMS470; Toshiba TMP92/TMP94.

Since the introduction of the Volvo S80 in 1998, Volcano Network Architect has been used to configure CAN communications in all new Volvo production cars, with the Volcano Target Package used in the majority of Electronic Control Units (ECUs) in these vehicles. During the period 2008 –

Impact case study (REF3b)

2012, this includes the S40, S60, S80, V50, V70, XC60, XC70, XC90, C30, and C70; total production volume 330,000 to 450,000 vehicles per year. A rough estimate of the number of Volvo cars in use during the period 2008-2013 which use this technology can be obtained from the production figures for the decade 2001 to 2011 which are approx. 4.5 million [17] (Note we cannot say how many of these vehicles remain on the road).

The Volcano technology (VNA and VTP) is also used by Jaguar, LandRover and Aston Martin, and by Airbus [11]. Since 2007, this technology has been used in own branded vehicles by the Chinese automotive giant SAIC [9]. In 2012, Mazda announced that they would be using Volcano technology in order to make more efficient and reliable use of CAN in vehicles featuring their “Skyactiv Technology” [10]. The Volcano Target Package is also used by the world’s leading automotive suppliers, including Bosch and Visteon.

Corroboration of all of the facts presented above can be obtained from [11].

Route to Impact: Above we detailed specific exploitation of the technology and impact and during the REF period. We now detail the evidential link between the underpinning research and that impact. The research in [1] was disseminated at the 1st International CAN Conference. As a direct result of this Ken Tindell was approached by Antal Rajnak, then working for Volvo Car Corporation. In April 1995, Ken Tindell and Robert Davis founded a start-up company called Northern Real-Time Technologies Ltd. (NRTT) to exploit the research in [1], [2], [3], and [4]. This company was contracted by Volvo Car Corporation to develop a CAN software device driver library and associated configuration tools [12], now referred to as the Volcano Target Package. Over the next two years, NRTT developed the Volcano Target Package through 4 major versions, and ported it to more than 10 different microprocessors used in the Volvo S80 and other automotive applications. At the same time, the message priority assignment policies and schedulability analysis techniques first introduced in [1], [2], [3], [4] were implemented in a CAN message configuration and analysis toolkit called Volcano Network Architect (VNA). The initial versions of VNA were developed by Kimble AB (a Swedish company founded by Antal Rajnak), working in conjunction with NRTT. Rights to the initial versions of the Volcano Target Package were transferred to Volcano Communications Technologies AB (a Swedish company founded by Antal Rajnak) which subsequently developed fully commercial versions of the Volcano technology (VNA and VTP), before being acquired by Mentor Graphics in 2005 [6].

From 1997 onwards the Volcano technology was used in the Volvo XC90, S80, S/V/XC70, S60, S40, and V50 cars. When Volvo was bought by Ford in 1999, this technology was adopted by Ford Premier Automotive Group, including Jaguar, Land Rover, and Aston Martin.

As part of its work on the Volcano technology, NRTT consulted with Motorola, strongly influencing the hardware design used in the on-chip peripheral MSCAN controller [12], [13] (section 4.2). This design used a 3 transmit buffer solution to ensure that the MSCAN controller can send out a stream of high priority CAN messages without releasing the bus – essential in achieving high bus utilisation without deadline failures. The 3 transmit buffer solution reduced the silicon area, and hence the unit cost of the hardware, compared to a ‘full’ CAN controller with 15 or 16 transmit buffers. This gave Motorola a competitive advantage, and reduced unit production costs for Volvo. Since 1997, microprocessors using MSCAN have been used in the door modules and other ECUs in a wide range of Volvo cars.

In 2007, [5] was used by Mentor Graphics to verify that the analysis provided by VNA [14] was correct. Further details of the Volcano Target Package and Volcano Network Architect can be found on Mentor Graphics’ website [15], [16] with a detailed description given in [8].

Beneficiaries: Volcano Network Architect, and the Volcano Target Package software that conforms to its assumptions, enable system architects at automotive manufacturers to configure in-car networks using CAN such that all of the messages are guaranteed to meet their deadlines at bus loads (utilisations) of up to approx. 80%. This compares with a maximum of approx. 30% using the approach otherwise prevalent in industry, where message IDs (priorities) are assigned in groups according to ECU supplier, and extensive testing and a large engineering margin for error is used to gain some confidence that message deadlines will be met. Achieving higher bus utilisation enables far more functionality to be supported using the same bus speed and communications hardware, providing those automotive manufacturers that adopt this technology with a key competitive advantage. With higher bus utilisations, more ECUs can be connected to

Impact case study (REF3b)

the same network, and the network can support a larger number of signals and messages. Wiring complexity can be reduced, with fewer connectors, increased reliability, and improved brand image. Further, there is enhanced support for the addition of lucrative 'software-only' options.

These benefits are summarised in the Volvo Technology Report [12] "*The advantages to Volvo of the development and application of Volcano include: Production cost benefits due to high bus efficiency (four times as many signals can be transmitted at half the baud rate). Development cost benefits (in the form of a single, proven implementation which is much cheaper than multiple implementations by suppliers and conformance testing by Volvo). Improved network reliability, resulting in higher product quality. Reduction in Volvo's test load. Reduction in supplier's test load. High degree of flexibility (useful in many situations). Recognition of the real-time problem (Volvo developed solutions before the problem had been recognised generally)*". Although [12] was written in 1998, the benefits of using this technology remain the same today. They are highlighted in [9] (2006) in relation to the Chinese automotive giant SAIC, "*By using Volcano, network design is made easy and predictable, guaranteeing data communication, which reduces the verification effort to almost zero and eliminates warranty and change costs caused by networking issues.*" Similarly, in [10], (2012) "*Mazda's use of VNA has enabled significant improvements in network efficiency and reliability*"... continues, "*This procedure increased the network utilization and significantly reduced the testing requirements and time*".

The underpinning research also led directly to the design by Motorola (now Freescale) of a low-cost on-chip CAN peripheral MSCAN [12], [13] that requires less silicon area than a 'full' CAN controller, and so reduces unit costs in production.

In summary, car manufacturers and their sub-suppliers have benefited from the underpinning research in terms of reductions in development, production, and warranty costs. Development costs have been reduced via improvements in the time taken to verify network timing behaviour, reducing the cost of testing, and time-to-market. Production costs have been reduced via the ability to run in-vehicle networks at high loads while ensuring that all message deadlines are met. This has enabled increasing amounts of functionality to be accommodated using the same low cost CAN hardware. Improvements in network reliability, via off-line guarantees that messages will always meet their deadlines, have reduced warranty costs, in particular, costly 'no fault found' ECU replacement. In a competitive marketplace, benefits to the car manufacturers have been passed on to the consumer, in terms of less expensive vehicles, with more functionality, and better reliability.

5. Sources to corroborate the impact (indicative maximum of 10 references)

[6] http://www.mentor.com/company/news/volcano_acquisition

[7] Mentor Graphics, "Volcano Target Package Datasheet", http://www.mentor.com/products/vnd/in-vehicle_software/volcano_target_package/upload/vtp-ds.pdf

[8] A. Rajnak, "Volcano Technology: Enabling Correctness by Design" in Embedded Systems Handbook, Edited by Richard Zurawski, CRC Press, July 2009. ISBN 9781439807613.

[9] http://www.mentor.com/products/vnd/news/saic_sdopts_volcano

[10] <http://www.mentor.com/products/vnd/news/mentor-vnd-mazda>

[11] Antal Rajnak, Chief Scientist, AVIAS, SLE division, Mentor Graphics Corp.

[12] L. Casparsson, A. Rajnak, K. Tindell, P. Malmberg, "Volcano – a revolution in on-board communication", Volvo Technology Report 1, 1998. <http://cs-www.bu.edu/pub/ieeert/articles/Casparsson-Volcano%20in%20Volvo%20Tech%20Report%201998.pdf>

[13] Motorola, "MSCAN Block Guide", Document No. S12MSCANV2/D. Available from <http://application-notes.digchip.com/314/314-67565.pdf>

[14] Mentor Graphics, "Volcano Network Architect Datasheet", http://www.mentor.com/products/vnd/communication-management/vna/upload/VNA_Datasheet.pdf

[15] http://www.mentor.com/products/vnd/in-vehicle_software/

[16] <http://www.mentor.com/products/vnd/communication-management/vna/>

[17] http://www.volvocars.com/intl/top/about_volvo/corporate/volvo-sustainability/Documents/Facts_and_Figures_2011-12.pdf (page 13).